DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES

714/744 P STREET *** CRAMENTO, CA 95814



To: All County Welfare Directors County Administrative Officers 3-14-86 Letter 86-11

REPORT OF QUALITY CONTROL FINDINGS FOR THE OCTOBER 1983 - MARCH 1984 AND APRIL 1984 - SEPTEMBER 1984 REVIEW PERIOD

The purpose of this letter is to transmit the following information:

- O Quality Control (QC) federal tables for the October 1983 March 1984 review period.
- O QC federal tables for the April September 1984 review period.
- A summary of the QC findings for the above referenced review periods.

Overview

States are required by federal statute to submit a corrective action plan (CAP) to the Health Care Financing Administration (HCFA) on an annual basis. Federal guidelines for an acceptable CAP require that, at a minimum, a corrective action initiative be developed for any program element with a payment or case error rate of ten percent or more of the total case or payment errors unless a current corrective action initiative is targeted against the error. California's 1985 CAP was submitted to HCFA on August 29 and was based on QC error data compiled from the October 1983 - March 1984 and April - September 1984 review periods.

Attachments I and II provide the federal tables which array the QC errors for these two periods, compiled by the Department of Health Services' (DHS) Audits and Investigations Division. Only those tables which are of interest for Medi-Cal program purposes are included for your information. The tables dealing with the AFDC strata, claims processing and third party liability errors have been omitted.

Attachment III provides an historical summary of the case and dollar error rates in the program areas which had significant errors in the last four review periods. Based on the data for the last two review periods, state corrective action initiatives have been or will be developed in six program areas; Deprivation, Buy-In (shown on the table under Retirement Survivors Disability

All County Welfare Directors County Administrative Officers Page 2

Insurance), Blindness/Disability, Other Disregards/Deductions, Age and Earned Income. Current initiatives will not be developed to reduce errors in Real Property and Bank Accounts due to proposed legislation and/or ongoing corrective action initiatives.

Review of QC Errors for the October 1983 - March 1984 and April - September 1984 Review Periods

The following describes the QC sample and error data for the last two periods reported to HCFA; October 1983 - March 1984 and April - September 1984. Please note that these figures include state assumed errors relating to the beneficiary's principal residence. However, subsequent to issuing the federal tables, we were successful in convincing HCFA that it was inappropriate to cite such errors. At this time, we are unable to revise the federal tables to reflect this change in QC policy, however, final federal finding should be adjusted such that these errors do not contribute to sanction liabilities.

October 1983 - March 1984:

State QC staff completed reviews on a total of 973 Medical Assistance Only (MAO) cases. Ninety-eight cases contained one or more errors for a case error rate of 10.07 percent. The State determined payment error rate was 4.469 percent which is projected to result in approximately 58 million dollars per year in misspent funds. About 71.9 percent of the dollar errors for this period were concentrated in the area of Other Real Property which accounted for 55.6 percent of the misspent dollars. Errors related to the implementation of the principal residence regulations were coded as state errors and accounted for 29.2 percent of the misspent dollars.

April - September 1984:

Of the total 976 completed MAO reviews, 107 cases contained one or more errors. The case error rate was 10.96 percent and the State determined dollar error rate was 3.33 percent which projects to approximately 44 million dollars in annual misspent funds. Although the case error rate in this period increased slightly from the previous period, the dollar error rate decreased over one percentage point. Close to one-half (49.3 percent) of the dollar errors were due to Other Real Property errors. All were related to the principal residence regulations and were state assumed errors.

<u>Identification of Major Error Causes for the October 1983 - March 1984 and April - September 1984 Review Periods</u>

1. Deprivation

A total of 19 errors occurred in this program area; 11 occurred because deprivation was incorrectly determined by the Agency, 5 were due to the beneficiary's failure to report a change in employment status, and 3 were MEDS processing errors. The Medi-Cal Eligibility Branch (MEB) is planning to update and reissue the Deprivation training material previously issued in 1982.

2. Buy-In

About one-half of the 37 errors in the Retirement Survivors Disability Insurance (RSDI) element were Buy-In related. Beneficiaries failed to report benefit changes due to implementation of Buy-In, or counties failed to recompute the budget timely. The Buy-In process will be addressed in a future All County Welfare Directors (ACWD) Letter.

3. Blindness/Disability

Four errors in this area were caused by the agency's failure to verify blindness or disability status, especially when a re-examination date was indicated. Three of the errors comprised almost 11 percent of the dollar error rate in the April-September 1984 review period. The Eligibility Branch took immediate corrective action and issued a series of ACWD Letters which explained the disability process and the correct procedure to follow. The procedure has been incorporated in the procedures portion of the Medi-Cal Eligibility Manual.

4. Other Disregards/Deductions

Approximately one-half of these errors (12) were agency caused. Four occurred because a public guardian fee was incorrectly allowed as a deduction. The remaining agency errors were related to miscellaneous deductions or computation errors and did not show any pattern. The settlement of the <u>Ibarra</u> v. <u>Dawson</u> case should eliminate some of the errors associated with computation of mandatory deductions.

All County Welfare Directors County Administrative Officers Page 4

The beneficiary-caused errors in this program area were primarily due to unreported changes in health insurance premiums. We hope that ongoing corrective action initiatives designed to increase beneficiary awareness of their reporting responsibilities will reduce these errors.

5. Age

Five errors occurred because of the agency's failure to terminate AFDC-based Medi-Cal when the only child in the home turned 21 years of age. It has been proposed that the Medi-Cal Eligibility Data System (MEDS) provide additional county alert messages for the two months immediately prior to the month an individual turns 21 years of age. These early alert messages would be generated for both AFDC-MN and Medically Indigent children. The Eligibility Branch is in the process of evaluating this proposal. In the interim, we urge counties to evaluate their internal procedures to insure timely review and action regarding individuals turning 21 years of age.

6. Earned Income

The case error rate in this program area has consistently been over 10 percent of the total errors for the last 4 review periods while the dollar error rate has fluctuated from a high of 12.5 percent to a low of 1.3 percent. Many of the earned income errors are usually beneficiary caused.

The California Welfare Directors Association/Department of Health Services Joint Medi-Cal Corrective Action Subcommittee developed and distributed a Medi-Cal Income In-Kind guide and an Income Computation guide to assist the eligibility workers to compute income. Results of a recent questionnaire completed by counties indicate that the two guides have been useful to counties in training and corrective action planning.

We wish to express our appreciation to you and your staff for the cooperation given in implementing the corrective actions initiated by DHS. Please provide us with your additional ideas for initiatives which you believe may be successful in reducing the major errors identified in this letter. Through the cooperation of DHS and the counties, we hope that significant gains can be made to reduce QC errors and avoid future fiscal sanctions.

All County Welfare Directors County Administrative Officers Page 5

If you or your staff have any questions or comments, please call Marie Leonard of my staff at (916) 322-3463.

Sincerely,

Original signed by

Doris Z. Soderberg, Chief Medi-Cal Eligibility Branch

cc: Medi-Cal Liaisons

Medi-Cal Program Consultants

Expiration Date: October 31, 1986

ATTACHMENT I

FEDERAL QUALITY CONTROL

TABLES FROM THE OCTOBER 1983 - MARCH 1984 REVIEW PERIOD

Table IA

MEDICAID QUALITY CONTROL: Statistical Summary

State California Reporting Period 10-83 to 3-84 Stratum TOTAL MAD AFDC 122 В Α Д I. AVERAGE MONTHLY MEDICAID CASELDAD 558,073 872,140 314,067 MA II. AVERAGE MONTHLY UNIVERSE OF MEDICAID PAYMENTS MADE DURING THE REPORTING PERIOD 107,951,904 84,224,065 NA 192,175,009 III. NUMBER OF CASES SELECTED FOR THE REPORTING PERIOD 1389 MA 2474 1085 IV. NUMBER OF REVIEWS COMPLETED DURING THE REPORTING PERIOD 1 2255 1282 MA973 V. TOTAL NUMBER OF SAMPLE CASES FOR WHICH REVIEWS HAVE NOT BEEN COMPLETED 219 107 112 A. Listed in error 00 56 $\Lambda \Lambda$ 34 B. Moved out of state since 13 $M\Delta$ 25 . 12 review month C. Unwilling to give information 4] NΑ 32 9 | D. Could not be located 56 31 25 MA E. Other reasons 7 4 ۸۱۵ 3 VI. NUMBER OF COMPLETED REVIEWS 2255 1282 $M\Delta$ 973 HAVING CLAIMS VII. NUMBER OF CLAIMS PROCESSED FOR SAMPLE CASES MΛ 13,259 424] 8418 VIII. DOLLAR PAYMENTS FOR ALL SAMPLE CASES REVIEWED NΑ 468,636 281,916 | 186,720

Table IB

MEDICAID QUALITY CONTROL: Number of Cases and Amount of Error by Error Classification

State California Reporting Period 10-83 to 3-84

•		·	Stratum		Total Percent
NUMBER OF CASES BY ERROR CLASSIFI	CATION	MAD A	AFDC A	SSI A	Only B
AND AS A PERCENT OF CASES COMPLET	ED	98	1 13	NA	
A. Cases having one or more errors	(1) <i>4</i>	7777	1-1.01-1	NA	4.22
	(1)	4.7	6	NA	
B. Ineligible cases		4.83		ŅΔ	2 0]
a mo ou sub inclinible marchers	(1) =	* <u>13</u>	$-\frac{7}{.55}$	- <u>MA</u> -	
C. Eligible with ineligible members		1.34	-e-	NA	VIIIIIII . 63
D. Liability understated error only	,,,	<u>- 2.98 - </u>	-8-	$-\frac{N}{NA}$	1.06
		¥ 9	-e-	<u> NA</u> _	
E. Liability overstated error only	,	92	-8-	NA	.33
F. Lizbility understated error		<u> </u>	==	<u> N</u> A	
with ineligible members	(2)	× 0	-e-	NA.	umalanida
"G. Lizbility overstated error	• • • • •	<u> </u>	_ _ _ -	<u> </u>	— filmimimi
with ineligible members		*	<u> </u>	NA NA	
H. Third party liability errors	, , ,	$\frac{NA}{NA} = -$	- 		N <u></u>
		<u>- N/N</u> 0	0	NA NA	
1. Initially liability understated-	•	×		NA	0
finally eligible		= 14	-e-	NA.	
J. Excess Resource Error	(2)	√ ↑.44 → ↑.	-е-	NA	.51

II. NUMBER OF CASES WITH CLAIMS BY ERROR (CLASSIFICATION AND AS A PERCENT OF CASES WITH CLAIMS

TH CLAIMS	(1)	#	98	13	_NA	YUJUHA KAMINI
A. Cases having one or more errors	(2)	*	10.07	1.01	NA	4.22
	(1)	#	$-\frac{47}{}$	<u> </u>	- NA -	2.01
B. Ineligible cases	(2)	*	4.83 13	.47	NA NA	
C. Eligible with ineligible members	(1)	## •€	- - 13	$\frac{1}{1} - \frac{1}{55} - \frac{1}{5}$	- NA	.83
	(1)	#	20	6	NA NA	
D. Liability understated error only	(2)	*	2.98	-8-	N/A	1.06 ////////////////////////////////////
E. Liability overstated error only	(1)	**	⁹		- NA	לא מדיומדיומדיונים מומדיומדיומדיומדיו
	(2) (1)	*	92	-0-	_MA	
F. Lizbility understated error with ineligible members	(2)	*		-0-	NIĀ -	9195555
G. Liability overstated error	(1)	**	- - 0 - -	- 	$-\frac{NA}{NA}$	-8-
with ineligible members	(2) (1)	× **	NV -	NΔ	NA.	
H. Third party liability errors	(2)	<u>*</u>	NA NA	NA	TNA	*\A
! Initially liability understated-	(1)	#	e	<u> </u>	$-\frac{1}{\sqrt{V}}$	- 1/2/2/2/2
finally eligible	(2)	*	14	<u> </u>		in O i
n F	(1)	**	1 -14	<u> </u>	$\leftarrow - \simeq -$	

Table IB

MEDICAID QUALITY CONTROL: Number of Cases and Amount of Error by Error Classification

Reporting Period 10-83 to 3-84 State California Stratum Total Percent Only 551 AFDC MAO B -DSS PAYMENT ERRORS AND AS A PERCENT TOTAL PAYMENTS TO SAMPLE CASES 67L. MΔ 12.560 (1) L Total error payments for all error classifications 2 66 ŅΔ 4 46 [2] 9750 NΑ 671. 2 (1) Error payments for ineligible recipients NΔ 2 10 3.46 [2] NA 2810 -e- (1) 2. Error payments resulting from case liability understated errors NA -8-1.00 × (2) miniiliniiniii NΑ NΔ NΑ (11 D. Error payments resulting from third party liability errors $\overline{\mathsf{N}}\overline{\mathsf{A}}$ NA_ 'nĀ. (2)

OLLAR AMOUNT OF LIABILITY /ERSTATED FOR ALL CASES	315	-9-	NA	
OLLAR AMOUNT OF LIABILITY NDERSTATED FOR ALL CASES	183,239	671	NA	

Page 2 of 1

MEDICAID QUALITY CONTROL: DOLLAR VALUE OF ELIGIBILITY/LIABILITY ERRORS BY SOURCE OF ERROR STATE: CA REPORTING PERIOD: 10-83 TO 3-84

٠	LIABILITY/ ELIGIBILITY			STRATI	JM			
	ERROR		IGIBLE ASES	•==		ILITY RSTATED	TO	
		AMT A	% B		AMT C	& D	AMT E	% F
•	DOLLAR AMOUNT OF AGENCY AND BENEFICIARY ERRORS	9750	100%	-	2810	100%	12,560	109%
•	DOLLAR AMOUNT OF AGENCY ERRORS	4824	49.48		1632	58.08	6456	51.40
•	CORRECT POLICY BUT INCORRECTLY APPLIED (10)	1965	20.15		1038	36.94	3003	23.91
•	WRONG POLICY APPLIED (11)	1386	14.22		162	5.77	1548	12.33
•	FAILURE TO TAKE INDICATED ACTION							
-	INFORMATION DISREGARDED OR NOT APPLIED (12/90	1253	12.85		327	11.64	1580	12.58
	2. FOLLOW-UP ON IMPENDING CHANGES (15)	60	.62		52	1.85	112	.89
	3. FOLLOW-UP INCONSISTENT OR INCOMPLETE INFOR- MATION (14)	··· 0- -	. - 0-		53	1.89	53	.42
	4. VERIFY WHERE REQUIRED BY AGENCY POLICY (16)	160	1.64		-0-	-8-	160	1.27
)_	REPORTED INFORMATION INACCURATE (13)	-0-	-0-		- 0-	-8-	-0-	-0-
2.	ARITHMETIC COMPUTATION (20)	-9-	-0-		-0-	-0-	-0-	-9-

E II CONTINUED)						
DOLIAR AMOUNT OF BENEFICIARY ERRORS	4926	50.52	1178	41.92	6104	48.60
LHANGES IN CIRCUMSTANCES NOT REPORTED (01)	4894	50.19	615	21.89 .	5509	43.86
INFORMATION PROVIDED IS NOT CORRECT (02)	26	.27	563	20.04	589	4.69
WILLFUL MISREPRESENTATION IN PROVIDING INFORMATION (03 & 04) -	6	.06	-0-	-0-	6	.05

Table III A. 1

MEDICAID QUALITY CONTROL: Number of Cases with Eligiblity/Liability Errors by Element of Error

State California	Reporti	ing Pe riod <u>1</u>	<u>1 ας Το</u>	3 61			
			Strat	מוע			Total Percent
PROGRAM AREAS AND ELEMENTS OF ELIGIBILITY DETERMINATION	MA Number	Percent B	AFE Nurtoer	Percent B	Number A	S) Hercent, B	Only C
ALL CASES WITH ELIGIBILITY/ LIABILITY ERRORS	89	100%	13	100%		100%	100%
ALL CASES WITH BASIC	24	24.49	8	61.54	MA	NA.	28.83
REQUIREMENT ERRORS] ·	1.02	-0-	- <u>9</u> -	NΑ	МД	<u> </u>
L. Age(110)	-9-	_0_	-0-		NΛ	NA	<u> </u>
b. Relationship(120)	-A-	-8-	-8-	i _e	NA	NA NA	_0_
C. Cirizenship (130)		2.04	_e_	 _e	NΛ	. NV	1.80
D. Rasidence(140) E. Tiving Arrangements(150)	. 13	13.27	1	7.69	NA	MA	12.61
F. Deprivation (181-184)	5	5.10	6	45.15	NA	· NA	9.91
Blindness/Disability(185)	1	. 1.02	1	7.69	NΑ	NA.	1.80
E. Other crt. rel. (186)	2	2.04	-9-	_0_	110	NΔ	1 20
. ALL CASES WITH RESOURCE	14	14.20	<u> </u>	7 60	NIV	NIA.	13.51
ERRORS	5	5.10	1	7.69	MΔ	ДМД	5 47
L. Benk Accounts (211)	7	i : 1.02	-0-	_0_	NΔ	NΛ	- 20
3. Other liquid assets(213)	<u> </u>	7.14	-9-	-e-	NV	ŊΔ	6 31
C. Easl property(221)	7	1	-B-	-0-	NΛ	<u> </u>	
p. Vehicle (222)	<u>-0-</u>	: <u>-9-</u> : -0-	_Ω_	-A-	NA	i NA	
E. Life insurance (223) F. Other non-liquid resources (226),	0-	1.02	-9-	-0-	NA	NA:	
G. Combined resources (225)	-P-	1 -9-	-9-	-9-	NA	NA	-6-

Table III A . 1

MEDICAID QUALITY CONTROL: Number of Cases with Eligibility/Liability Errors by Element of Error

	Stratum							
PROGRAM AREAS AND ELEMENTS OF ELIGIBILITY DETERMINATION	Marther	AΩ , Parcent	AF Number	Percent	Number Number	i Percent	Fercent Only	
	A	В	<u>A</u>	8 1	NA	NV	50.45	
V. ALL CASES WITH INCOME ERRORS	52	53.06	4	30.77				
A. Wages and Salarias (311)	10	10.20	4	30.77	NA	NA .	12.61	
3. Self coloypens(312)	-8	-0-	-8-	-0-	NA	NA NA	<u>-9-</u>	
C. Tax cradit(313)	-0	-0-	-A-	<u> -9-</u>	NA.	NA.	-9-	
D. Other extract incres(314)	-8	-9-	- <u>0</u> -	-e	NA	AN	<u></u>	
	1	1.02	-0-	-0-	ΝİΑ	ŊA	an	
E. Earned income disregards(321-323)	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	-8-		854	МА	13.51	
F. RSDI benefits(331)	15	15.31			NIΔ	NΔ	12 61	
G. Other gov't. benefits(332-336)	14	14.29	-ρ-		NΔ	NA NA	-0-	
H. Food stamp/housing(341)	-0-	<u>-e-</u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		i		
I. Income in-kind or deemed(342-343)	-8-	<u> </u>	<u> -</u> €		NV	I NA	≙	
J. Other income (344-346)	6	6.12	-6-	<u>-0-</u>	NA	Νίν	5.41	
K. Other disregards(363-362,371-372)	6	6.12	-Ç-	n	NΔ	NΔ	5 47	
LL CASES WITH COMPUTATION OF NANCIAL ELIGIBILITY ERRORS	-0-	- <u>0</u> -	-9-	-0-	ŊΔ	NA.	-9-	
e *	-9-	· - 8-	-ā-	-0-	ÄV	NA	<u>-0-</u>	
A. Shelrer only(411) B. Subsistence(412)	<u>-9</u> -	-9-	-8-	-8-	MA	NA	-9-	
C. Combined(413)		-0-	_0_		ДИ	NA	-9-	
D. Special circumstance(420)	-ğ-			-8-	ΝΑ	ŅΔ	-e-	
	-9-	<u> </u>	-ō-	; - 	1 1	<u> </u>		
LL CASES WITH OTHER MEDICAID OVERAGE REQUIREMENT ERRORS	8.	8.16	-ò-	<u>-A-</u>	AM	ΝÞ	7.21	
·	-0-	-0-	-6-	- <u>0</u> -	NA	<u>i</u> NA	- <u>-</u>	
A. Proper person	- 0-₹	-ō-	-g-	9-	NΑ	AII	-9-	
3. Arithmetic computation(520)C. Beneficiary liab-lity determ. (530)		1 7 7 4	-n-	-0	NA	MA	5.3	
D. Grandfathered coverage (540)	7	1 7.14	-9-	1 -9-	MA	1110	0/	
. D. Other State Hedicald criteria (350)	'	1 1.04	-9-	_A-	ŊΔ	HA		

Table III A . 2
MEDICAID QUALITY CONTROL: Number of Cases with AGENCY Eligiblity/Liability Errors by Element of Error

<u> </u>	
	Total Percent
SSI R Number F	Only B C
* 1	100% 100%
71 NA NA	A 39.13
NA N	Λ 2 17
- No N	л <u>-</u> е-
_ NA N	Δ -Δ-
NAN	A 217
- NA N	A 13.04
43 <u>NA N</u>	Λ 15.22
20 NA N	ΔΔ 35
- <u>NA</u> N	10 2 17
29 NA N	IA 15.22
29 NA N	IA Δ 35
- MV -V	<u></u>
NA N	10.07
I_ NA A	<u> </u>
I NA N	VA -Ω-
- NA I	ΔΔ_
A- NA	-9- AV
	9- NA I

Table III A. 2

MEDICAID QUALITY CONTROL: Number of Cases with AGENCY Eligiblity/Liability Errors by Element of Error

.ate <u>Ca</u>lifornia

Reporting Period 10-83 to 3-84

			Str	របាយ			Total Percent	
PROGRAM AREAS AND ELEMENTS OF ELIGIBILITY DETERMINATION	M/ Number A	AD Parcent B	AF Number A	DC Percent B	SS Number A	Percent E	Only	
IV. ALL CASES WITH INCOME ERRORS	15	38.64	-0-	−û	MA	NA	32.61	
A. Wages and Salaries (311)	3	7.69	-9-	-6-	NA	AM	6.52	
3. Self coloyment (312)	-0-	-9-	-6-	- 9-	ДИ	NA	-9-	
C. Tax credit(313)	-8-	-0-	-0-	-9-	NA	NA	-6-	
D. Other serned incres(314)	-9-	-0-	-9-	Q_	NA	NA_	-9-	
E. Earned income disregards (321-323)	1	2.56	-8-	-0-	ŊΑ	MA	2.17	
F. RSDI benefits(331)	<u> </u>	10.26	-8-	- θ-	NA	NA	8.70	
G. Other gov't. benefics(332-336)	2	5.13	-6-	-9-	ŊΑ	NA _	4.35	
H. Food strm/housing(341)	-9-	-e-	-9-	-9-	ΝV	AK	-g-	
I. Income in-kind or decmed(342-343)	 -	-8-	-9-	- 0 -	NA	N.A	-9-	
J. Other income (344-346)	1	2.56	-9-	-9-	ŅА	NA.	2.17	
K. Other disregards(363-362,371-372)	4	10.26	-8-	-8-	NA	NA ···	8.70	
LL CASES WITH COMPUTATION OF NANCIAL ELIGIBILITY ERRORS	-0-	-0-	-9-	-0-	MA	NA	-9-	
A. Shelter only(411)	-8-	-Ð-	-9-	-0-	NA	NA	-6-	
3. Subsistence(412)	-8-	_0_	-0-	-0-	NA	NA	-8-	
C. Combined (413)	<u>0</u> -	-0-	-8-	-9-	NA.	NA	-8-	
D. Special circumstance(420)	- 8-	-ō-	-9-	-8-	ŊΑ	NA	-8-	
LL CASES WITH OTHER MEDICAID OVERAGE REQUIREMENT ERRORS	6 .	15.38	-6-	-A-	NA.	NA NA	13.04	
•	<u>-θ</u> -	-8-	-8-	-9-	ŊΔ	MA	-A-	
A. Proper person	-9-	-9-	- 0-	-Q-	MA	NA	- 9 -	
3. Arithmetic computation(520)C. Beneficiary liab-lity determ. (530)	5 .	12.82	-ç-	-0-	MA	NA	10.87	
D. Grandfathered coverage(540)	1	2.56	-0-	-ū-	MA	MΑ	2.17	
E. Other State Medicaid criteria(550)	-8-	-0-	-0-	-9-	MA	NA	-÷-	

Table III A .3 MEDICAID QUALITY CONTROL: Number of Cases with BENEFICIARY Eligibility/Liability Errors

Smm California	Керог	ung Period 1	0-83 <u> </u>	3-84_		•	
			Stra	מענו			Total Percent
PROGRAM AREAS AND ELEMENTS OF ELIGIBILITY DETERMINATION	Humon	AD Percent	AF Nurcer	DC Fercent B	Number A	Percent, B	Only C
ALL CASES WITH ELIGIBILITY!	5 9	100%	6	100%		100%	100%
LIABILITY ERRORS ALL CASES WITH BASIC	12	20.34	2	33.33	NV	И∇	21,54
REQUIREMENT ERRORS	-0-	-8-	- 0 -	-A-	MA	NA NA	-0-
_ Age(110)	- 0 -	: -9-	-0-	-8-	NA	NA	_0
. Falerimship(120)	- A-	ı -e-	- - -	- 0-	·· NA ···	- NA	9-
: Cirirenship (130)). Rasidanca (140)	1	1.69	-8-	-e-	МV	NA	1.54
L. Living Arrangements (150)	7	11.86	1	16.67	ŊΔ	MA	12 31
r. Deprivacion (181-184)	3	5.08	1	16.67	NA	NV	6.15
G. <u>자라파ese/MesHitty</u> (185)	-9-	; -0-	-0-	-0-	NV	NA	-8-
Other CEI. Fel. (186)	7	1.69	-6-	-0-	NA.	MA	1-54
. ALL CASES WITH RESOURCE	8	13.56	-0-	-0-	NA	NΔ	12.31
ERRORS	4	6.78	-9-	-9-	AN	NA_	6.15
L Renk Accounts (211)	1	1.69	-8-	-9-	NA.	NA	1.54
i. Other Menid assets(213)	2	3.39	-8-	_g_	ŊΔ	NA.	3 08
. Reel property (221)	-0-	-9-	-0-	-0-	Йν	NΑ	_û-
t. life insurance(223)	-0-	-0-	- ù -	-9-	NA	NA	-0-
7. Onber non-liquid resources(224)		-8-	-θ-	- ú -	MV	AA	9-
Commissed resources (225)	1	1.69	-8-	_p_	1;∆	NA	1.54
	+				•		Page 1 of 2

Table III A -3

MEDICAID QUALITY CONTROL: Number of Cases with BENEFICIARY Eligiblity/Liability Errors by Element of Error

Reporting Period 10-83 to 3-84 State California Total Spanin Percent PROGRAM AREAS AND 22 Only AFDC MAG ELEMENTS OF ELIGIBILITY *Percent Number Percent Number ¢ Percent Number DETERMINATION 8 בש טס MΔ MΔ IV. ALL CASES WITH INCOME ERRORS 66.67 4 62.71 37 15 02 NΑ NΔ 66.67 4 11.86 A. Wages and Salaries (311) МΔ -8--9-NΑ -8--9--A-3. Self eployment (312) -Q-NA ĽΑ -6--A--9-49-C. Tex credit(313) - Δ -A-NA -9--A--9--6-D. Other earned increa(314) -9-NΑ NΑ -A--A--6--8-E. Exraed income disregards (321-323) 16.92 ΔM -8-NΛ -8-18.64 11 F. RSDI benefits (331) NA 18.46 NA -9-20.34 -0-12 0. Other gov't. benefits(332-336) _0_ NΔ MΔ -8--8--9--9-H. Food stamp/housing(341) - A -NΛ МΑ -8-- 0 --9-1. Income in-kind or deemed(342-343) -0-7.69NΑ NΑ -6-J. Other income (344-346) 5 8.47 -8-L Other disrepards(363-362,371-372) 3 UB МΔ -6-NΑ -9-3.39 2 -8-ALL CASES WITH COMPUTATION OF NA. NΑ -6--A--0-_0_ FINANCIAL ELIGIBILITY ERRORS -A-NΑ NΑ -0--8--8--8-A. Shelter only(411) -0-NA MA -A--B--0--6-3. Subsistance(412) _0_ $M\Delta$ _A_ NΔ C. Commissed (413) -9--8--8--9-D. Special circumstance (420) NΑ $M\,\Delta$ -8-_0_ -0--0-3.08 ALL CASES WITH OTHER MEDICALD ΝА ИΛ -A--9-3.39 2 COVERAGE REQUIREMENT ERRORS -0-NA MA -Q--A--0--A-A. Proper person -9-NΔ МΔ -9--0-.. A --0-B. Arithmetic computation(520) 3.08 NA -9-NA. -P-3.39 C. Semeficiary liab-lity decerm. (530) -0ħΑ MA -9--9--6--9-D. Grandfathered coverage(540) Λ ≈Ð- ΔM E. Other State Medicald criteria(550) -A--Q-- Q -=0-

Table III B.1

MEDICAID QUALITY CONTROL: Dollar Amount of Eligibility/Liability Errors by Element of Error

Reporting Period 10-83 To 3-84 State California Stratum Total Percent PROGRAM AREAS AND 531 Qnly AFDC MAO ELEMENTS OF ELIGIBILITY Number Per cort C Number Percent. Number DETERMINATION 8 9 В 100% 100% ALL CASES WITH ELIGIBILITY/ 100% 100% 671 12.560 LIABILITY ERRORS 1412 ŊΑ 15.01 MA 85.54 574 11.24 II. -ALL CASES WITH BASIC REQUIREMENT ERRORS ΝA MΑ 07 -6--8-9 .07 A. Aga(110) _A_ Λ_{\parallel} -Q-MΛ <u>-A-</u> -9-11 -9-B. Relationship (120) _Ω<u>-</u> NΑ ĄΝ -8--8--8--8-C. Cicirenship (130) <u>07.</u> $M\Lambda$ _0_ NΑ .07 _p_ 9 D. Residence(140) 5.34 NΑ NΛ 7.15 48 E. Living Arrangements (150) 659 5.25 F. Deprivation (181-184) MA 9.20 MA 491 73.17 5.78 726 G. Blindness/Disability (185) 20. ŊΆ 5 22 ND. 35 02 3 I. Other test. rel. (186) NΛ NA .05 -8--A-6 .05 ALL CASES WITH RESOURCE МΛ go ΛL 111. 71.95 6 9037 ERRORS 21.11 .89 МΔ NΛ 6 2787 22.19 A. Rank Accounts (211) 5 04 МΔ -0- $M\Lambda$ _0_ 5.31 667 B. Other liquid assets(213) MΛ 10.02 МΛ _0_ _0_ 43.00 5401 C. Real property (221) _0_ NΔ NΔ <u>-9-</u> -9--6--8-D. Vehicle(222) -8-NA МΔ -8--9--B--0-E. 14fa insurance (223) -0-NΛ NΑ _0_ -9--**0**--6-F. Other non-liquid resources(224) .1.38 NΔ МΛ _0_ G. Combined resources (225) _0_ 1.45 182

Table III B.1

MEDICAID QUALITY CONTROL: Dollar Amount of Eligibility/Liability Errors by Element of Error

State California Reporting Period 10-83 to 3-84

DDOGDAN ADEACAND			Stra	חמו			Total Percent	
PROGRAM AREAS AND ELEMENTS OF ELIGIBILITY DETERMINATION	M. Number A	AΩ Percent B	AF Numcer A	DC Percent B	Number A	SI Percent B	C	
IV. ALL CASES WITH INCOME ERRORS	1706	13.58	91	13.56	NΔ	MA	12 52	
A. Wages and Salaries(311)	158	,1.26	91	13.56	ΝΔ	NA	33.1	
3. Self emloyment(312)	-9-	-9-	-8-	-0-	NA.	NA.	-0-	
C. Tax credit(313)	-0-	-9-	-8-	-6-	NA	NA	-0-	
D. Other serned incress(314)	-6-	-8-	-9-	-9-	MΑ	N.A	-0-	
E. Earned income disregards(321-323)	-0-	-A-	-0-	9-	NA.	NA .	-e	
F. RSDI benefics(331)	392	3.12	-9-	-9-	NA.	MA	2.96	
G. Other gov't, benefits(332-336)	718	5.72	-8-	-6-	NA	NA .	5.43	
H. Food stamp/housing(341)	- <u>0</u> -	-9-	-0	-ê-	ДИ	NA ·	-8-	
I. Income in-kind or deemed(342-343)	- 6-	-0-	-8-	-0-	NA	NA	<u>-9-</u>	
J. Other imcome(344-346)	152	1.21	-6-	-6-	HA.	NA	1.15	
R. Other disregards(363-362,371-372)	286	2.28	-9-	-A-	NА	NA	2.16	
LL CASES WITH COMPUTATION OF INANCIAL ELIGIBILITY ERRORS	-0 -	-9-	-9-	0	ΝV	NA	<u> </u>	
A. Shelter only(411)	-9-	-9-	-8-	-0-	ДИ	NA	<u>-0-</u>	
3. Subsiscence(412)	-6-	-9-	-0-	-0-	NA	NA.	-9-	
C. Combined (413)	-0-	-9-	-9 -	-0-	NA.	NA	-8-	
D. Special circumstance(420)	-P-	- 0 -	-8-	-8-	ΑИ	NA	-8-	
LL CASES WITH OTHER MEDICAID OVERAGE REQUIREMENT ERRORS	405	3.22	- Q -	_O_	MA	MA	3.06	
• •	-6-	-9-	-0-	-0-	NA	Nν	_C-	
A. Proper person B. Arithmetic computation(520)	-8-	-0-	-8-	-6-	Ам	ŅА	-6-	
C. Beneficiary liab-lity detera. (530)	127	1.01	-9-	-0-	MΛ	ŅΑ	.06	
D. Grandfathered coverage(540)	278	2.21	- 9 -	e	NV	NA	<u> </u>	
E. Other State Medicald criteria(550)	-9-	_0_	-0-	_0_	NΔ	1 1/4		

Table III B. 2

MEDICAID QUALITY CONTROL: Dollar Amount of AGENCY Eligiblity/Lizbility Errors by Element of Error by Element of Error

California Reporting Period 10-83 To 3-84

State	Reporti						
	-		Strati	um			Total Percent
PROGRAM AREAS AND ELEMENTS OF ELIGIBILITY DETERMINATION	MAO Number Fercent		AFD Number A	Percent B	SSI Number Persent A B		Only
. ALL CASES WITH ELIGIBILITY/ LIABILITY ERRORS	6456	100%	526	100%		100%	100%
ALL CASES WITH BASIC	684	10.59	520	98.86	ŊA	ŊΔ	17 20
REQUIREMENT ERRORS	9 :	.14	-0-	-0-	NΑ	NA	.13
A. Age(110)	-0-	-ģ-	-A-	-6-	MA	NA .	_0_
I. Relationship (120)	- <u>Q</u> -	-0-	-0-	-e-	NA.	NA	~ò~
D. Residence(140)	6.	Üā	-A-	Ō-	ΑŅ	NA	.09
E. Living Arrangements (150)	503	7.79	-A-	-P-	NA	NA	7:20
F. Deprivation(181-184)	160	2.48	485	92.21	NA	I NA	9.24
G. Blindness/Disability(185)	3	.05	35	6.65	NA.	NA	.54
Other cat. rel. (186)	3	.05			NA	NA NA	.04
II. ALL CASES WITH RESOURCE	5073	78.58	6	1.14	ИУ	NA	72.74
ERRORS	1406	21.78	5	7.14	ΝΑ	NA.	20.22
A. Beni Accomis(211)	- 0-	i -0-	-0-	-9-	ΝΛ	NA	-A-
3. Other liquid assets(213) ——	3667	56.80	-0-	-0-	NА	NA.	52.52
C. Real property(221)	-8-	ρ_	-9-	-9-	NΑ	NA .	-9
D. Vehicla (222) E. Life insurance (223)		i _a_	-A-	-A-	NA	i NA	<u>-9-</u>
F. Other non-liquid resources(224)	- 0 -	<u></u>	_0_	-6-	NΑ	NA	-0-
G. Combined resources (225)	-A-	-A-	-a-	_ò-	ЙЪ	ΝΛ	-p.

MEDICAID QUALITY CONTROL: Dollar Amount of AGENCY Eligiblity/Lizbility Errors by Element of Error by Element of Error

State California Reporting Period 10-83 to 3:84

DD00341143546441D	Stratum								
PROGRAM AREAS AND ELEMENTS OF ELIGIBILITY DETERMINATION	MA Humber A	.O Fercent 8	AF Humter A	Percent B	Nurroer A	Thercont B	Per===: On(y		
IV. ALL CASES WITH INCOME ERRORS	297	4.60	G =	G	MA	NA	4.25		
A. Wages and Salamas (311)	45	.70	Q=	-P-	MA	MA	.64		
B. Self exployment(312)	-0-	-9-	-0-	-A-	ŅΑ	ΝA	- <u></u> -6-		
C. Tex credit(313)	6 -	· Ŋ-	-0-	-Ö-	NA	NA	-A-		
D. Other surped incres (314)	-0·	<u>-</u>	-9-	-9-	NA :	NA	۵۰.		
E. Exraed incres disrepards(321-323)	-0-	-0-	-9-	- 9 ·	ИЪ	NА	-6-		
F. PUDI benefits (331)	65	1.01	-8-	-ò-	NA	NA	.93		
·	9	.14	-n.	-0-	NA	NA	.13		
G. Other gov't. benefits(332-336) E. Food stam/housing(341)	-0-		-A-	-6-	NA	. NA	-6-		
	Q~ p	<u>``</u>	-9-	-6-	ΝΛ	NA	G-		
J. Onder income (344-346)			-9-	-9-	NA	NA	.04		
L Other disregards (363-362,371-372)	3	.05		-8-	AN	NA	2.51		
	175	2.71	0-		-		- 8-		
/ ALL CASES WITH COMPUTATION OF FINANCIAL ELIGISILITY ERRORS	- e-	-9-	9-	-0-	ŅΑ	NA.			
A. Sheltar only(411)	-δ−	-9-	-9	-9-	. NA	NA NA	- Q-		
3. Subsistence(412)	··f)-	-9-	-9-	-6-	NA	ИЪ	-9-		
C. Combined (413)	-6-	-6-	_ Q	-0.	NA	NA	- P-		
D. Special circumstance (420)	- 0 -	- 0 -	-9-	-Q-	ЙΥ	NA			
I. ALL CASES WITH OTHER MEDICAID COVERAGE REQUIREMENT ERRORS	402	6.23	- 0-	-0-	NA	NV	5.76		
	-0-	-6-	- 9-	-9-	NA	MA	-ċ-		
A. Proper person	-0-	-g-	-0-	-9-	NA	NA	-6.		
3. Arithmetic commutation(320) C. Beneficiary liab-lity determ. (530)	124	1.92	- 0	-9-	NА	ΝΔ	1.78		
D. Grandfathered coverage(540)	278	4.31	-9-	- <u>-</u> Ω-	N.A		3 . 9 8		
E. Other State Medicaid criteria(550)	-A-	-9-	-e-	-A-	Йν	11/	<u></u>		

Table III B .3

MEDICAID QUALITY CONTROL: Dollar Amount of BENEFICIARY Eligibility/Liability Errors by Element of Error

Reporting Period 10-83 _ To<u>3-84</u>_ ralifornia State Stratum Total Percent PROGRAM AREAS AND 122 AFDC Only MAO ELEMENTS OF ELIGIBILITY Percent. Number Percent Number C Percent ' Number DETERMINATION Ð 8 ¥ B ¥ 100% 100% ALL CASES WITH ELIGIBILITY! 100% 145 6104 100% LIABILITY ERRORS 12.51 37.24 NΛ NΛ 54 728 i 11.93 I. ALL CASES WITH BASIC REQUIREMENT ERRORS -9-NΑ ΔM -0-- D -_D_ -P-A. Are(110) ۵. М٨ NΛ _0_ -0-_0_ -9--3. Relationship (120) _0_ ŊΛ ijΛ _0_ -B--0--9-ر (130) د المحمد الم ำ NΑ NA -0--A 3 : .05 D. Residence (140) 3.26 ŊΔ MΑ 33.10 2.56 48 E. Living Arrangements (150) 156 9,15 F. Deprivation (181-184) ŊΛ NΑ 4.14 9.27 6 566 G. Blindhess/Dissbility(185) _n MMM!A_A_ -A-_0_ .05 MA ŊΔ -9 . Other ext. rel. (186) -Q-.05 63.43 NΛ MA _0_ _ _ _ 64.94 3964 II. ALL CASES WITH RESOURCE **ERRORS** 22.10 ĄИ NΛ -A--n-22.62 1381 10.67 A. Benk Accounts (211) V_1V МΛ -9--₽· 10.93 667 E. Other liquid assets (213) 27.75 MΛ МΛ -0--0-28.41 1734 C. Real property (221) -0-NΑ ŅΛ --0---B-_0_ ..P.-D. Vehicle(222) **-Ω-**NΑ AL: -0--9t. Life insurance (223) 1 _0_ <u>-Ω-</u> -9-МΔ NA.

-0-

2.08

-0-

182

F. Other non-liquid resources (224)

G. Combined resources (225)

_p.

-D-

2.91

NΛ

ĦΛ

Table III b'3

MEDICAID QUALITY CONTROL: Dollar Amount of BENEFICIARY Eligibility/Liacility Errors by Element of Error

State California

Reporting Period 10-83 to 3-84

	Strum									
PROGRAM AREAS AND ELEMENTS OF ELIGIBILITY DETERMINATION	MAO . Number Percent		Number	Parcent B	SSI Number 'Percent A B		Onty			
IV. ALL CASES WITH INCOME ERRORS	1409	23.08	91	62.76	NΛ	NA	24.00			
	113	1.85	91	62.76	ΝA	NA.	3.26			
A. Wages and Salamas (311)		-0-	-e-	-9-	NA.	N.A	-6-			
1. Self employeent(312)	-ê-	1	_	Į		NA NA	-9-			
C. Tax credit(313)	-8-	<u> 6</u> -	0-	-8-	NA NA	<u> </u>				
D. Other extract inches (314)	-9-	-9-	-9-	-0-	ΝV	ΝV	-9-			
L. Remark income disregards (321-323)	-9-	-9-	-0-	-9-	-NA	ΝA	- 6 -			
F. ESDI benefits (331)	327	5.36	-0-	-6-	Νō	NA	5.23			
G. Other pr/t. benefits(332-336)	700	11,62	-0		NA	ħĮΔ	11.35			
E. Food strom/honsing(341).	-∂-	-0··	<u>-</u> 0-	· ·	NA	- MA	-0-			
I. Income in wind or demod(342-343)	<u>-</u> 9-	<u> </u>	-0-	<u>-ö-</u>	NΔ	ŅΑ	-Q-			
J. Other (mem (344-346)	149	2.44	_n_	-9	ΝΛ	NA.	2.38			
L. Other disregards(363-362,371-372)	וון	1.82	_n_	<u>-</u> ū-	ΝV	MV-	1.75			
LL CASES WITH COMPUTATION OF THANCIAL ELIGIBILITY ERRORS	-0-	-0-	6		··· NA	NA.	-6-			
.L. Shelter only(411)	e	-0-	- Q	-9-	NA	NA	(j -			
B. Subsistance (412)	-6-	-9-	-Q-	-6-	AR	NA.	<u>-Ω</u> -			
C. Combined (413)	-8-	-9-	-ù-	-0-	ŅΙ	NA	-0-			
D. Special dimentance (420)	-0-	-9-	-0-	-9-	NΑ	NA.	-0-			
LL CASES WITH OTHER MEDICAID OVERAGE REQUIREMENT ERRORS	3	.05	-9-	-0-	NA	NΑ	.ი.			
	0	-9-	-0-	·-e-	ΝΔ	MA	-9-			
A. Proper person B. Arithmetic componetion(520)	-9-	-9-	-0-	-9-	NA	NΑ	f)			
C. Senationary liability decara. (530)	3	.05	-9-	-0-	NA	νίν	.05			
D. Grandfathered coverage(540)	-9-	-9-	Q.	-ë-	N/A	NA	-£-			
E. Other State Medipaid criteria(550)	- <u>e</u> -	-G-	<u> </u>		- MA	NΛ	-9-			

Table VII A

MEDICAID QUALITY CONTROL: Case Characteristics for Both Cases With and Cases Without Eligibility/Liability Errors (MAO Stratum Only)

State	California Reporting	Period 10-83	3 to 3-84		
		Cases wit	ћ Епог	Cases Withou	
	CHARACTERISTIC	Number A	Percent B	Number C	Percent
	I. TOTAL	98	100%	875	100%
	II. TYPE OF MOST RECENT ACTION		100%		100%
	A. Approved application	52	53.06	357	40.80
	B. Redetermination	46	46.94	518	59:20
	III. NUMBER OF MONTHS SINCE MOST RECENT ACTION		100%		100%
	A. Three or fewer	27	27.55	119	13.60
	B. Four to six	16	16.33	117	13.37
	C. Seven to nine	9	9.18	91	10.40
	D. Ten to twelve	6	6.12	54	6.17
×	E. Thirteen or more	40	40.82	494	56.46
_	IV. NUMBER OF PERSONS IN MEDICAID ASSISTANCE GROUP	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	100%		100%
	A. One	62	63.27	516	58. 9 7
	B. Two	14	14.29	163	18.63
	C. Three	9	9.18	89	10.17
	D. Four	7	7.14	58	6.63
	E. Five	3	3.06	28	3.20
	F. Si×	2	2.04	13	1.49
	G. Seven	- Q -	-0-	4	.46
	H. Eight	-8-	-9-	3	.34
	I. Nine	1	1.02	0 .	-0-
	J. Ten or more	C	-8-	1.	.11

Table VII A

MEDICAID QUALITY CONTROL: Case Characteristics for Both Cases With and Cases Without Eligibility/Liability Errors (MAO Stratum Only)

Reporting Period 10-83 to 3-84 California State Cases Without Errors Cases With Errors CHARACTERISTIC Number Number Percent Y . TYPES OF INCOME PRESENT 24.77 241 28.57 A. Earned income 28 35.77 348 61.22 60 B. RSDI/RRB benefits 7.30 71 4.08 4 C. Other government benefit programs 8.94 87 28.57 28 D. Pensions and other benefits 16.24 158 17.35 17 E. Other unexmed income VI. TYPES OF RESOURCES AVAILABLE .51 5 3.06 A. Real property 3 4.52 8.16 44 B. Other personal property 8 41.83 407 64.29 C. Liquid assets 63 2.26 22 D. Other non Liquid assets 8.16 8

Page 2 of 2

Reporting Period 10-83 to 3-84

Cases With Eligibility/Liability Errors CHARACTERISTIC Percent Number 100% AGE OF ERROR-TOTAL 98 59 60.20 A. 3 months or fewer 23 23.47 B. 4 to 6 months 8.16 8 C. 7 to 9 months 8.16 8 D. 10 to 12 months -9--6-E. 13 or more months RELATIONSHIP OF DATE OF 11. MOST RECENT ERROR TO DATE OF MOST RECENT 100% ACTION-TOTAL 5 5.10 A. Before B. Coincident 26 26.53 68:37 67 C. After: 24.49 24 1. 3 months or fewer 23 23.47 2. 4 to 6 months 15.31 15 3. 7 to 9 months 5 5.10 4. 10 to 12 months -9-D 5. 13 or more months: III. DISCOVERY OF ERROR 44.90 A. From case record 3.06 3 B. Incorrect case record 28.57 28 C. Recipient interview 1.02 1 D. Employer 1.02 1 E. Financial institution -9-- 0 -F. Landlord 9.18 Q G. Relatives, etc. 9.18 H. Gov't. agencies

Table VIII

MEDICAID QUALITY CONTROL: Universe Data by Stratum or Substratum

Stratum	MAN				
Sübstratum	NA				
Sure	California	Reporting Period _	10-83	10_	3-84

MONTH	NUMBER OF CASES	DOLLAR PAYMENTS B
October 1983	313,717	109,343,495
November 1983	313.590	107,521,333
December 1983	312,556	117,466,504
January 1984	315,649	92,149,130
February 1984	314,027	123.137,844
March 1984	314,863	98,087,719

ATTACHMENT II

FEDERAL QUALITY CONTROL

TABLES FROM THE APRIL - SEPTEMBER 1984 REVIEW PERIOD

Table 1A
MEDICAID QUALITY CONTROL: Statistical Summary

State California Reporting Periodopril 1984 to September 1984

			Stratum					
		MAO A	AFDC A	122 A	TOTAL B			
l.	AVERAGE MONTHLY MEDICAID CASELOAD	311,493	560,467	N/A	871,960			
11.		10,066,904	82,998,6	AN O	193,065,50			
111.	NUMBER OF CASES SELECTED FOR THE REPORTING PERIOD	1051	1381	NA	2432			
IV.	NUMBER OF REVIEWS COMPLETED DURING THE REPORTING PERIOD	976	1241	NA.	221.7			
v.	TOTAL NUMBER OF SAMPLE CASES FOR WHICH REVIEWS HAVE NOT BEEN COMPLETED	75	140	NA	215			
	A. Listed in error	31	45	AJ1	76			
٠,	B. Moved out of state since review month	. 5	14	NA	19			
	C. Unwilling to give information	23	19	NA (42			
	D. Could not be located	14	- 58	AИ	72			
	E. Other reasons	2	4	NA	6			
VI.	NUMBER OF COMPLETED REVIEWS HAVING CLAIMS	976	1241	NA	2432			
VII.	NUMBER OF CLAIMS PROCESSED FOR SAMPLE CASES	4986	7034	NA	12,020			
viiı,	DOLLAR PAYMENTS FOR ALL SAMPLE CASES REVIEWED	294,690	128,056	NА	422,746			

Table 1B

MEDICAID QUALITY CONTROL: Number of Cases and Amount of Error by Error Classification

State California Reporting Period April 1984 September 1984

			Stratum			Total Percent
NUMBER OF CASES BY ERROR CLASSIFI	CATIO	N	MAO A	AFDC A	I22 A	Only B
AND AS A PERCENT OF CASES COMPLET		†	107	5	MA	giilianniiniiniiniinii
A. Cases having one or more errors	(1) (2)	#	$-\frac{10.56}{10.96}$		T NA	4.17
	(1)		45	2	MA	
B. Ineligible cases	(2)	*	-4.61	7.16	MA	1.75
	(1)	- *	16	3	ĽΑ	
C. Eligible with ineligible members	(2)	×	<u>i.64</u> -	24	MA	.74
	(1)	-	30	-0-	I ra	<i>\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\</i>
D. Lizbility understated error only	(2)	×	3.07	-0-	N'A	1.10
	(1)	#	15	Q	<u> </u>	
E. Liability overstated error only	(2)	*	1.54	_ _	A"A	.55
F. Lizbility understated error	(1)	* **	1	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
with ineligible members	(2)	K	.10	-6-	NA	.04
-	(1)	#	-0-	-0-	<u> </u>	<i>\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\</i>
G. Lizbility overstated error with ineligible members	(2)	%	-0-	-0-	MA	
	(1)	#	ΝA	NA _	<u> </u>	_ \/\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\
H. Third party liability errors	(2)	%		MA	MA	MA
	(1)	#	9-]0	MA	_ \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\
[Initially liability understated- finally eligible	(2)	%	-0-	-8	NA	U
11:15-17	(1)	<u></u>	7	-9	I NA.	<i>\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\</i>
J. Excess Resource Error	(2)	%	 -71	-0-	T NA	

II. NUMBER OF CASES WITH CLAIMS BY ERROR (:LASSIFICATION AND AS A PERCENT OF CASES WITH CLAIMS

/ 4 1	[107.	5	A דר	YUUUUUUUU
	· }	$-\frac{10.96}{10.96}$		NA	4.17
(1)	#	<u>45</u>	2		
(2)	%				1.75
(1)	#				.74 -
(2)	*				annillitatiethi
		3.07-	−_ਚੁ−	NA -	1.10
(1)	**	15	-e-	NA	
(2)	*	1.54	-0-	MA	.55
(1)	**	- ¹ - ₁ -	<u> </u>	·	<i>VI[III]:[[]]:[III]:[]</i>
			1		This is the second of the seco
	•	- -6		- <u>- </u>	-6-
(1)	#	MA	NA	NA_	Vingrillillistillist
(2)	*	NA	AZ		NA WARREN
(1)	, 1	<u> </u>	+ 		
(2)	*	<u>-Q-</u>	<u> </u>	1 *!A	6
	(2) (1) (2) (1) (2) (1) (2) (1) (2) (1) (2) (1)	(2) % (1) # (2) % (1) # (2) % (1) # (2) % (1) # (2) % (1) # (2) % (1) # (2) % (1) # (2) % (1) # (2) % (1) #	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	(2) \times 10.96 .40 (1) $\#$ 45 .2 .16 (2) \times 16 .16 (1) $\#$ 16 .24 (1) $\#$ 30\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$-\$}}\$} -\text{\$\text{\$-\$}\$} -\text{\$\text{\$-\$}}\$ (1) $\#$ 30\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$-\$}}\$} -\text{\$\text{\$-\$}\$} -\	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

Table 1B

MEDICAID QUALITY CONTROL: Number of Cases and Amount of Error by Error Classification

State California Reporting Period April 1980 September 1984

			Stratum]
		-	MAO A	AFDC A	SSI A	Total Percent Only B.
DSS PAYMENT ERRORS AND AS A PERCENT TOTAL PAYMENTS TO SAMPLE CASES						
	(1)	5	9805	43	NA_	VIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII
C. Total error payments for all error classifications	(2)	*	3.33	.03	MA	1.91
	(1)	\$	7833	43	L_NA	_ \####################################
L Error payments for ineligible recipients	(2)	%	2.66	.03	NA	1.53
	(1)	\$	1972	-0-	AN.	العياساسالالالا
2. Error payments resulting from case liability understated errors	(2)	¥	67	0		.38
	(11	\$	NA	NA	NA	
). Error payments resulting from third party liability errors	(2)	*	NY	NA	NA -	NA NA

LLAR AMOUNT OF LIABILITY ERSTATED FOR ALL CASES	1,112	-0-	NА	
LLAR AMOUNT OF LIABILITY DERSTATED FOR ALL CASES	40,908	-0-	NA	

Page 2 ct 2

MEDICAID QUALITY CONTROL: DOLLAR VALUE OF ELIGIBILITY/LIABILITY ERRORS BY SOURCE OF ERROR STATE: CA REPORTING PERIOD:

LIABILITY/ ELIGIBILITY	INELIG	STRATUM MAO TBLE	LIABIL	ITY	TOTAL		
ERROR	CAS AMT A		UND ERS AMT C	TATED & D	AMT E	% F	
DOLLAR AMOUNT OF AGENCY AND BENEFICIARY ERRORS	7833	100%	1972	100%	9805	100%	
DOLLAR AMOUNT OF AGENCY ERRORS	5897	75.29	415	21.03	6312	64.37	
CORRECT POLICY BUT	39	.50	. 87	4.41	126	1.29	
INCORRECTLY APPLIED (10)			,				
WRONG POLICY APPLIED 11	3089	39.44	146	7.40	3235	32.99	
FAILURE TO TAKE UNDICATED ACTION						•	
INFORMATION DISREGARDED OR NOT APPLIED 12190	1547	19.75	128	6.49	1675	17.08	
2. FOLLOW-UP ON DEPENDING CHANGES (15)	191	2.44	49	2.48	240	2.45 -	
3. FOLLOW-UP INCONSISTENT OR INCOMPLETE INFOR-MATION (14)	3	.04	0	-0-	3	.03	
4. VERIFY WHERE REQUIRED EY AGENCY POLICY (16)	1028	13.12		_ _	1028	10.48	
REPORTED INFORMATION INACCURATE (13)	-0-	-0 -	0-	0-	-9-	-0 -	
ARITHMETIC COMPUTATION (20)	-0-	- Q-	5	.25	5	.05	

II CONTINUED)						
DOLLAR AMOUNT OF BENEFICIARY ERRORS	1936	24.72	1557	78.95	3493	35.63
ANGES IN CIRCUMSTANCES NOT REPORTED (01)	541	6.91	1467	74.39	2008	20.48
INFORMATION PROVIDED IS NOT CORRECT (02)	1395	17.81	90	4.56	1458	15.15 ·
WILLFUL MISREPRESENTATION IN PROVIDING INFORMATION (03 & 04)_	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0 -	-0-	-0-

Table III A. 1

MEDICAID QUALITY CONTROL: Number of Cases with Eligiblity/Liability Errors by Element of Error

Reporting Period 4/84 To 0/84 State Colifornia នីពេះលាក Total Percent PROGRAM AREAS AND 221 AFDC Onty MAO ELEMENTS OF ELIGIBILITY Percenti, Number Percent Percent Number C Number DETERMINATION В Δ 8 Α 100% 100% ALL CASES WITH ELIGIBILITY! 100% 100% 5 NA 107 LIABILITY ERRORS 32.14 NA5 100 NA ALL CASES WITH BASIC REQUIREMENT ERRORS 28.97 31 2.68 NA **-**θ-_ NA 2.80 --0--3 . Age(110) _0_ -0--0-NA -0--0-MA . Relationship (120) ŊΔ 20 .93 NA **-**θ− -0-1 . Citizenship(130) ∇M - A -- **-**θ<u>-</u> NA . Residence (140) -0--0--0-. Living Amengements (150) NΔ 15 18 40.00 NA 15 14.02 . Deprivation (181-184) 3 60.00 NA NA7.14 5 4.67 Mindness/Disability (185) NΑ 2 68 _0_ NΑ 3 2.80 -0-. Other cat. rel. (186) 3.57 -0-AMNA3.74 -0- 4 ALL CASES WITH RESOURCE MA 6.25 -0- NA 6.54 -9-7 **ERRORS** .89 -0--0-NA NA **.** 93 1 Bank Accounts (211) _0_ NA -0-NA -0--0-_0---. Other liquid essets(213) a 5 36 NΤΔ _Q_. _0_ NΔ 5.61 6 Real property (221) ΝA -0--0-NA -0-0 -0- Vehicle (222) life insurance (223) --0-ΝĀ NA: --0----0--0-- 0 Other non-liquid resources (224) NΑ A_ -0-<u>−θ−</u> -0- MA0 Combined resources (225) ANNA -0-- -0-0 -0--0-

Table III A • 1

TOIC AID QUALITY CONTROL: Number of Cases with Eligibility/Liability Errors by Element of Error

Reporting Period 4/84 to 9/84 State California Total Stratum Fercent PROGRAM AREAS AND SSI Only AFDC MAO ELEMENTS OF ELIGIBILITY "रिवादकार Number Percent Number DETERMINATION Percent Number С В 包 А V. ALL CASES WITH INCOME ERRORS 57 53.27 -0-NA NΑ 50.89 -0-12.50 14 13.08 -0--0-NA NA A. Wages and Salaries (311) B. Self employment(312) - -__0_ __0_ . _0_ ŊΣ $N\Delta$ Ω... _____ _0_ -0-NΔ NΑ Ω -0--0-C. Tax credit(313) D. Other extract increc(314) -0--0--0--0-NA NA -0-89 1 .93 -0--0- NA NA E. Exraed income disregards (321-323) F. RSDI benefics(331) 14.29 16 14.95 -0-_Q_ NA NA 5_36 NA G. Other gov't. benefits(332-336) 5.61 -0- -0- NΑ 6 .. Food stamp/housing(341) _0_ _0_ ΔI ATA 4 -0--0--0-____ I. Income in-kind or deemed(342-343) -0--9-NA NA-9- J. Other income (344-346) 2 1.87 _0_ -0-NA NA 1.79 K. Other disregards(363-362,371-372) 18 16.82 -0--0-NA NA 16.07 L CASES WITH COMPUTATION OF _0_ -0--0--0-NΑ NA NANCIAL ELIGIBILITY ERRORS -0--0-_0_ -0-NA NA -0- -0-A. Shelter only(411) _0_ B. Subsistence (412) _0_ NA -0--0--0-NA -0-C. Combined (413) _0_ -0-NΑ NΑ -0-_Q_ D. Special circumstance (420) -0-_Q_ -9--0-NΑ NA -0-L CASES WITH OTHER MEDICALD NA. 10.71 _ _Q_ _ -0--NΑ 12 11.21 IVERAGE REQUIREMENT ERRORS -0--0-NA NA -0-. -8--0- A. Proper person -2-68 -0-**-**Ω-NA NA 3 2.80 B. Arithmetic computation (520) -5.36 .. Beneficiary liab-lity determ. (530) NA 5.61 -0--0-NA 6 D. Grandfathered coverage (540) _0_ $N\Delta$ -0-_0_ -0--0-NA E. Other State Medicaid criteria(550) 2.68 NΤΔ -6-_0_ NA 3 2.80

5-AA 7 -- *

Table III A . 2

MEDICAID QUALITY CONTROL: Number of Cases with AGENCY Eligiblity/Liability Errors by Element of Error

Suu California	Reporting Period 4/84 To 9/84						
PROGRAM AREAS AND ELEMENTS OF ELIGIBILITY DETERMINATION	Stratum						Total
	Mumoer A	AD Percent	AFI Number A	Percent B	SS Number A	î Percent, B	Percent Only C
L ALL CASES WITH ELIGIBILITY/ LIABILITY ERRORS	59	100%	3	100%	NA.	100%	100%
L ALL CASES WITH BASIC REQUIREMENT ERRORS	21	35.59	3	100	AŃ	ŊĄ	38.71
A. Age(110)	3	5.08	<u>-0-</u>	-0-	MA	AN	4_84
E. Pelerimship (120)	0	: _ 0 _	-0 -	0	<u>N</u> A	NA.	_0_
C. ದ ವರ್ಸಾದ ೨(130)	1	1.69	0	-0	NA_	NA	1.61
D. Residence(140)	0		<u>-Q-</u>	_0_	· NA···	- NIA	<u> </u>
E. Living Arrangements (150)	8	13.56	- 0	-0	NA	NΑ	12.90
F. Deprivation(181-184)	4	6.78	3	100	NA	NA.	11.29
Elinoness/Disability (185)	2	3.39	_Q_	_0_	NΔ	NA	.2.23
E. Other car. rel.(186)	3	5.08	<u>-0-</u>	_6_	מנא	NTA	4.84
I. ALL CASES WITH RESOURCE ERRORS	5	8.47	-0-	-0-	NA	NA NA	8.06
	0	-0-	-0-	-0-	NA .	ŊA	<u>-</u> Ω
A. Benk Announts (211) B. Other liquid assets (213)	-0-	-0-	-0-	0	NA.	<u>מ</u> נא	
C. Real property (221)	5	8.47	-0-	-0-	NA.	NA	8.06
D. Vehicle(222)	-0-	! _0_	-0-	-0-	NA	NA NA	-0-
E. Life insurance (223)	0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	NA	NA	-0-
F. Other non-liquid resources (224)	-0-	_0_	-0-	-0-	N/A	NA ·	-0-
G. Combined resources(225)	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	ŅΆ	NA	-0

Table III A . 2

MEDICAID QUALITY CONTROL: Number of Cases with AGENCY Eligiblity/Liability Errors by Element of Error

California Reporting Period 4/84 to 9/84 State Stratum Total Fercent. PROGRAM AREAS AND 5**5**1 Only AFDC MAG ELEMENTS OF ELIGIBILITY Percent Percent Number DETERMINATION Number Percent Number C В Α IV. ALL CASES WITH INCOME ERRORS 0 0 <u>33.87</u> 21 35.59 AMNΑ 5.08 4.84 . 3 0 0 NA NA A. Wages and Salaries (311) 0 -0- -0- 0 AI1 $N\Delta$ _0_ B. Self emloyment (312) -0--0-0 0 NΙΑ $\alpha \eta A$ _0_ C. Tax credit(313) D. Other extract increc(314) --0- n 0 NA $N\Delta$ Α. -0-0 0 NA NA 1.61 1.69 1 E. Earned income disregards (321-323) 12.90 O NA 13.56 0 MA 8 F. RSDI benefits (331) -0- . 0 NA NA 0 -0--9-G. Other gov't. benefits(332-336) NΑ _Q_ 0 0 NA T. Food stamp/housing(341) -0--0- -0-NA 0 0 AI1 Income in-kind or demmed(342-343) --0---0-J. Other income (344-346) 0 MA NA_0_ 0 -9- -0-K. Other disregards(363-362,371-372) 14.52 0 0 NA NA 9 15.25 LL CASES WITH COMPUTATION OF NA -0--0--0-0 0 NA INANCIAL ELIGIBILITY ERRORS _0_ 0 0 NA NA -0--0-A. Shelzer only(411) NΑ -0-0 0 NA -0--0- B. Subsiztence(412) C. Combined (413) -0-0 NA NA 0 -0-_0_ D. Special circumstance(420) -0-0 NΑ NA 0 -0--0-ILL CASES WITH OTHER MEDICAID 19.35 NA NA0 20.34 0 **DVERAGE REQUIREMENT ERRORS** 12 -0-NA 0 NA 0 -0- -0-A. Proper person 4.84 NA 5.08 0 0 NA 3 B. Arithmetic computation (520) 9.68 0 NΑ NA 0 10.17 ". Beneficiary liab-lity determ. (530) 6 _0__ D. Grandfathered coverage(540) NANA 0 0 _Q_ -0-E. Other State Medicaid criteria(550) 4.84 NA 0 NA 0 3 5.08

Table III A .3
1EDICAID QUALITY CONTROL: Number of Cases with BENEFICIARY Eligibility/Liability Errors

Sum California	Report	ang Period <u>4</u>	/84_ To	9/84			
			Strat	บกา			Total Percent
PROGRAM AREAS AND ELEMENTS OF ELIGIBILITY DETERMINATION	Mumber A	AJ Percent B	AFI Number A	Percent B	Number A	Percent B	Only C
ALL CASES WITH ELIGIBILITY/	48	100%	2	100%	NA	100%	100%
ALL CASES WITH BASIC	10	20.83	2 -	100	N12	NTA -	24.00
REQUIREMENT ERRORS	_ 0_	-0-	-0-	-0	NA	NA	-0-
. Açe(110)		_0_	<u>-0-</u>	-0-	NA.	NA -	_ -0_
. Relationship (120)		•		<u> </u>	NA NA	NA.	-0-
(130)	-0-	: -0 -	_ 0 _	1 -0-	IVA	i Mer	-0-
Peridence(140)	-0-	-0-	-0-	<u> _0_ </u>	NA .	NA .	-
L living Arrangements (150)	7	14.58	_2	100	N ₂	NA	78 00
'. Deprivation (181-184)	1	2.08	-0-	-0-	NA	NA	2.00
i. Minimess/Dissbility(185)	1	2.08	-0-	-0-	AI1	NIA	2.00
Other ext. rel. (186)	1	2.08	-0-	-0-	ΝÞ	NZ	2.00
ALL CASES WITH RESOURCE ERRORS	2	4.17	-9-	-0	NA	NA	4_00_
	1	2,08	0	0	AM		1 200
. Nemt Accounts (211)	<u>-θ-</u>	i -θ-			ביא	NZ.	_0_
, Other liquid assets(213)	1	2.08	-0-	_0_	NA	NA	2.00
, Real property(221)		1	 	-0-	NA.	NA NA	-0
, Vehicle (222)	-0-	1 -0-	0	1	1674		
. Life insurance(273)	-0-	0-	_0_	-0-	NA	NA	0_
, Other non-liquid resources (224)	_0 _	_0_	-0-	_0_	NA	NA .	-0-
Combined resources (225)	-0-	<u> </u>	_0_	_0_	NA	NA -	-0
			*				

Table III A . 3

MEDICAID QUALITY CONTROL: Number of Cases with BENEFICIARY Eligiblity/Liability Errors by Element of Error

			Su∗	บก			Total
PROGRAM AREAS AND ELEMENTS OF ELIGIBILITY	M.A	.0	AF	DC	SS	 51	Percent Dniy
DETERMINATION	Number A	Percent B	Number A	Percent B	Number A	Fercent B	С
IV. ALL CASES WITH INCOME ERRORS	36	75.00	-0-	-0-	NA	NA	72.00
A. Wages and Selectes (311)	11-	22.92	0-	-0-	NA	NA.	22.00
3. Self employment (312)	. 0 .	-0-	- 0-	-0-	NA	NA.	-0-
C. Tex credit(313)	-0-	-0-	-θ-	0 -	NA	NA	-0-
D. Other sarned increase(314)	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	NA	NA	-0-
E. Extract income disregards (321-323)	-0-	-0-	-0-	′ - 0-	NA	NA	-0-
F. RSDI benefics (331)	8	16.67	-0-	-0-	ΝΆ	NA	16.00
G. Other gov't. benefics(332-336)	6	12.50	-0-	-0-	N A	NA	12.00
. Food stamp/housing(341)	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0	NA	NA	-0-
I. Income in-kind or decmed(342-343)	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	NA	NA	-0-
J. Other income (344-346)	2	4-17	-0-	_0_	NA	NA	4.00
K. Other disregards(363-362,371-372)	9	18.75	-0-	-0-	NA	NA_	18.00
L CASES WITH COMPUTATION OF NANCIAL ELIGIBILITY ERRORS	-0-	_0_	-0-	-0-	NA	AZA	-0-
A. Shelter only(411)	-0-	-0-	-0 -	-0-	NA	NA.	0-
B. Subsistence(412)	-0-	_ - 0−	-0-	-0	NA	NA	-0-
C. Combined (413)	-0-	-0-	-0-	0	NA	NA	-0-
D. Special circumstance (420)	-0-	-0-	-0 -	<u> </u>	NA	NA	
LL CASES WITH OTHER MEDICAID OVERAGE REQUIREMENT ERRORS	:-₽-	-0-	-0-	-0-	NA .	NA	_0_
	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	NA_	NA	_0_
A. Proper person B. Arithmetic computation(520)	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	NA	NA	0-
. Beneficiary liab-lity determ. (530)	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	NA	NA	_0_
D. Grandfathered coverage(540)	-0-	-0-	-0	_0_	NA NA	NA NA	<u>_n_</u>
E. Other State Medicaid criteria(550)	-0-	-9-	-0-	-9-	NA_	\ \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	

Table III B.1
MEDICAID QUALITY CONTROL: Dollar Amount of Eligibility/Liability Errors by Element of Error

Reporting Period 4/1984To 9/1984 State California Stratum Total PROGRAM AREAS AND Percent 521 AFDC ELEMENTS OF ELIGIBILITY MAO Only Number Hereant. Number Fer cent Number Percent DETERMINATION C 8 В А A В ALL CASES WITH ELIGIBILITY! 100% 100% 100% 100% NA 43 9805 LIABILITY ERRORS Ħ 22.49 IL -ALL CASES WITH BASIC 100 22.15 43 2172 REQUIREMENT ERRORS 0 3.08 303 3.09 0 A. Age(110) O 0 n 0 -B. Relationship (120) 11 2 14 C. Cirizenship (130) 211 2 15 0. <u>`0</u> #1 0 D. Residence (140) 0 0 0 0 E. Living Arrangements (150) 81 1.97 15 34.88 179 1.83 F. Deprivation (181-184) 11 4.41 tI 28 65.12 406 4.14 G. Blindness/Disability(185) 11 10.50 0 0 1034 10.55 f. Other cat. rel.(186) 40. 0 n 39 40 ALL CASES WITH RESOURCE 11 49.62 0 0 4887 49.84 **ERRORS** 11 11 .56 0 56 0 55 A. Benk Accounts (211) 11 11 0 0 0 0 0 B. Other liquid assets(213) Ħ 49.07 Ω 0 4832 49.28 C. Real property (221) 0 D. Vehicle(222) 0 0 0 0 E. Life insurance (223) 11 0 0 0 0 n F. Other non-liquid resources (224) 21 0 0 0 0 0

n

n

n

n

G. Combined resources (225)

0

Table III B.1
****DICAID QUALITY CONTROL: Dollar Amount of Eligibility/Liability Errors by Element of Error

Reporting Period 4/1984 to 9/1984 State California Total Stratum Fercent PROGRAM AREAS AND 551 Oniv AFDC DAM ELEMENTS OF ELIGIBILITY Tercent Number Percent Number DETERMINATION Percent Number C В В 26.86 IV. ALL CASES WITH INCOME ERRORS NA ΝA 0 2645 26.98 0 ti 6.55 0 645 0 6.58 A. Wages and Salaries (311) 0 н 0 0 0 B. Self employment (312) 0 Ħ.Ť n 0 0 0 0 C. Tax credit(313) D. Other extract increase (314) n Ħ 0 0 n n 11 0 0 0 0 E. Earned income disregards (321-323) 0 5.40 F. RSDI benefitz(331) 0 Ω 5.43 532 9.04 11 0 9.08 0 G. Other gov't. benefits(332-336) 890 0 Ħ . Food stamp/housing(341) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 I. Income in-kind or deemed(342-343) 0 0 ti .62 J. Other income (344-346) 0 .62 O. -61 K. Other disregards (363-362,371-372) 5.25 0 5.27 0 517 LL CASES WITH COMPUTATION OF 11 0 11 0 0 0 INANCIAL ELIGIBILITY ERRORS 0 12 0 0 0 0 A. Shelter only(411) 0 0 11 B. Subsistence (412) n 0 0 0 C. Combined (413) n ** ŧı 0 0 n. 0D. Special circumstance (420) 11 n 11 n n n n ILL CASES WITH OTHER MEDICAID 1.03 Ħ DVERAGE REQUIREMENT ERRORS 0 1.03 0 101 0 н H 0 0 0 0 A. Proper person 11 .40 0 0 .40 39 B. Arithmetic computation(52D) .54 11 .. Beneficiary liab-lity determ. (530) 0 54 0 53 L1 0 D. Grandfathered coverage (540) 0 O 0 0 E. Other State Medicaid criteria(550) 09 п

09

9

0

0

Table III B. 2

MEDICAID QUALITY CONTROL: Dollar Amount of AGENCY Eligiblity/Liability Errors by Element of Error by Element of Error

State California Reporting Period 4/84 To 9/84

State Callionnia	нерол	ing Period) <u> </u>			
			Strat	tum			Total
PROGRAM AREAS AND ELEMENTS OF ELIGIBILITY DETERMINATION	M/ Number A	AO Percent B	AFI Number A	Percent 3	Number A	Percent P	Percent Only C —6398—
. ALL CASES WITH ELIGIBILITY/ LIABILITY ERRORS	6362	100%	28	100%	NA	100%	100%
L ALL CASES WITH BASIC	2139	33.62	28 -	100	NA	NA	33.91
REQUIREMENT ERRORS	303	4.76	-0-	-0-	NA_	NA	4.74
A. Age(110)	-0-	- 0-	-0-	0-	NA	NA	_0_
E. Relationship(120) C. Citizenship(130)	211	3.32	_ق_	-0-	NA 	NA	3.30
D. Residence(140)	-0-	; -0-	-8-	-0-	NA	NA	-0-
E. Living Arrangments (150)	158	2.48	-6-	-0-	NA	NA.	2.47
F. Deprivacion(181-184)	403	6.33	28	100	NA	AJ1	6.74
G. Blindness/Disability(185)	1031	16.21	-0-	-e-	NA	NA	16.13
Other ext. rel.(186)	33	.52	-e-	-0-	NA	NA	.52
I. ALL CASES WITH RESOURCE ERRORS	3471	: 54.56	-e-	-e-	AM	NA NA	54.32
- EDHONG	-8-	-0 -	-0-	-e -	NA	NA NA	-0-
A. Esnit Accounts (211)	-0-	- 0-	-0-	-e -	NA	NA	e-
B. Other liquid assets(213)	3471	54.56	-0-	-0-	NA.	NA	_0-
C: Real property(221) D. Vehicle(222)	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	NA	NA	<u>-n-</u>
E. Life insurance(223)	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	NA.	NA.	-0-
F. Other non-liquid resources(224)	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	NA.	NA.	-0-
G. Combined resources (225)	- 0 -	-0-	-0-	-0-	AI1	NA.	-0-

Table III B.2

MEDICAID QUALITY CONTROL: Dollar Amount of AGENCY Eligiblity/Liability Errors by Element of Error by Element of Error

State California Reporting Period 4/84 to 9/84 Statum Tatzl PROGRAM AREAS AND Percent. SSI MAO AFDC Only ELEMENTS OF ELIGIBILITY Terror t DETERMINATION Number Ferrent. Percent Number Number C A В Δ B -0- IV. ALL CASES WITH INCOME ERRORS 651 10.23 -0-KMNA 10.19 .31 -0- .31 20 -0- NA NA A. Wages and Salaries (311) -0- -0--0-NA -0-NA -0- Self employment(312) -0- -0--0- NΑ -0-NA -0- C. Tex credit(313) D. Other sermed incress(314) -0--0--0-NA -0- -0-NΑ -0--0- -0--0--9-NANA E. Exraed income disregards(321-323) _0_ -0- NA 4.66 298 4.68 NAF. ESDI benefits(331) -0--0- NA NA -A--6- G. Other gov't. benefics(332-336) -0- E. Food ream/housing(341) -8--e--9- -e-ΑM MA --9----e- -0--6-NA NA I. Income in-kind or deemed(342-343) J. Other income (344-346) -0--0- -e- -0--0- AJ.1 NA L. Other disregards(363-362,371-372) 5.23 -9--8-M NA 5.21 333 , ALL CASES WITH COMPUTATION OF -0- -0- NA -0--0--0-NΑ FINANCIAL ELIGIBILITY ERRORS -0--0- -9--0-NA MA A. Soelter only(411) Subsistence (412) -0- -0--0--0- -0-NA NA C. Combined (413) --0--0- -0--0--0-NA NΑ D. Special circumstance (420) -0- -0-NA -0--0--0-MA ALL CASES WITH OTHER MEDICAID _1.58 -0-NA -101 1.59 -0- NA COVERAGE REQUIREMENT ERRORS -0-NΑ -0--0-NA -0-A. Proper person .61 -0- -0-NΑ NΑ 39 .61 B. Arithmetic computation (520) .83 -A-NA -6-NA C. Seneficiary liab-lity decerm. (530) 53 .83 D. Grandfathered coverage(540) -e--0--0-MA NA-0--0- E. Other State Medicaid criteria(550) .14 NANΑ -9--0-9 .14

Table III B -3

MEDICAID QUALITY CONTROL: Dollar Amount of BENEFICIARY Eligibility/Liability Errors by Element of Error State California Reporting Period 4/84 To 9/84

State California	Repor	ting Period $\frac{4}{2}$	/84	9/84			
			Strat	លក	<u></u>		Tatal
PROGRAM AREAS AND ELEMENTS OF ELIGIBILITY DETERMINATION	M Number A	AO Percent	AFI Number A	DC Fa rc e nt B	SS Number A	il Percent, B	Percent Only C
I. ALL CASES WITH ELIGIBILITY/ LIABILITY ERRORS	3443	100%	15	100%	NA	100%	34.56 100%
I. ALL CASES WITH BASIC REQUIREMENT ERRORS	33	.96	15	100	NA.	NA.	1.39
A. Age(110)	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	NA	AM	
B. Relationship (120)	-0-	: -e-	-0-	-0-	. NA	·· NA·	· -0 -
C. کنتیسدیات (130)	-0-	0-	-0-	-0-	NA.	NA	-0-
D. Residence(140)	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	NA	NA	-0-
E. Living Arrangements (150)	21	.61	15	100	גיא.	בוא	7 04
F. Deprivation (181-184)	3	.09	-0-	-0-	AM	, NA	-09
G. Blindness/Disability(185)	3	.09	-0-	<u> </u>	NA	NA.	.09
Other car. rel.(186)	6_	.17	-0-	l	בזיו	אדא	17
II. ALL CASES WITH RESOURCE ERRORS	1416	41.13	-0-	-6-	N/A	NA	40.95
(233)	55	1.60	-0-	-6-	AM	NA NA	1.59
A. Bank Accounts (211) B. Other liquid assets (213)	-0-	-0-	-0-	-e-	NA_	NA_	-0-
C. Feel property(221)	1316_	i 39.53	-e -	-9-	NA	NA	39.63
D. Vehicle(222)	-0-	-0-	-e-	-0-	NA	AVA	-0-
E. Life insurance(223)	-e-	· - 0-	-0-	-0-	AJ1	NA.	-e-
F. Other non-liquid resources(224)	-0-	-6-	0-	-0-	NA.	NA ·	_e_
G. Combined resources (225)	-0-	-e-	-6-	-6-	NA	NA .	-0-

Table III B.3

MEDICAID QUALITY CONTROL: Dollar Amount of BENEFICIARY Eligibility/Liability Errors by Element of Error

state California Reporting f	'e.i∞ <u>4/8</u>	4 to9	/84				·
PROGRAM AREAS AND			Str	roum			Total Percent
ELEMENTS OF ELIGIBILITY	M/	ഹ .	1.71	DC	55	-	Onty
DETERMINATION	Number A	Percent B	Number A	Percent B	Nurreac A	ेचित्रकार B	С
IV. ALL CASES WITH INCOME ERRORS	1994	57.91	-0-	-0-	NA	NA	57.66
A. Wages and Selectes (311)	- 625	18.15	-0-	-0-	NA	NA	18.07
B. Self exployment(312)	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	NA	NA	-0-
C. Tex credit(313)	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	NA	NA	-0-
D. Other serned income (314)	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0 -	AM	NA	_0_
E. Econed income disregards(321-323)	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	NΔ	NA	-0-
F. RSDI benefits(331)	234	6.80	-9-	-0-	NA	NA	6:77
G. Other pov't. benefica(332-336)	890	25.85	-0-	-0-	NA	NA	25.74
E. Food stam/homeing(341).	-0-	_0_	-0	-0-	NA	NA	-0-
I. Income in kind or demod(342-343)	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	NA	NA	-0-
J. Other income (344-346)	61	1.77	-0-	-0-	NΑ	AN	1.76
L. Other disregards(363-362,371-372)	184	5.34	-0-	-0-	NA	AN	5.32
ALL CASES WITH COMPUTATION OF FINANCIAL ELIGIBILITY ERRORS	-0-	0	-0-	-0-	NA	NA	
in Shelter only(411)	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	NA	NA	-0-
E. Subristance(412)	-9	_0_	-0-	-0-	NA	AN	-0
C. Combined (413)	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	- NA	NA	-0-
D. Special circumstance (420)	-0	-0-	-0-	-0-	NA	NA.	-0-
ALL CASES WITH OTHER MEDICAID OVERAGE REQUIREMENT ERRORS	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	NA	NA	-0-
•	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0	NA	NA.	-0-
A. Proper person B. Arithmatic computation(520)	-0-	 -0-	-0-	-0-	NA	NA	-0-
3. Beneficiery lieb-liny deceme (530)	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	NA	NA	-0-
D. Grandfathered coverage(540)	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0 -	NA.	NA NA	-0-
E. Other State Medicald oriceria (550)	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	NA	NA	-0-

Table VII A

MEDICAID QUALITY CONTROL: Case Characteristics for Both Cases With and Cases Without Eligibility/Liability Errors (MAO Stratum Only)

State	California 1	Reporting Pe	riod <u>4/84</u>	== =9/		
	,		Cases wit	h Error	Cases Witho	
٠	CHARACTERISTIC	İ	Number A	Fercent B	Number C	Percent D
	I. TOTAL		107 -	100%	869	100%
	II. TYPE OF MOST RECENT AC	TION		100%		100%
	A. Approved application		52	48.60	372	42_81
	B. Redetermination		55	51.40	497	57.19
	III. NUMBER OF MONTHS SINCE MOST RECENT ACTION	E /		100%		100%
	A. Three or fewer		11	10.28	127	14.61
	B. Four to six		14	13.08	121	13.92
	C. Seven to nine		19	17.76	84	9.67
	D. Ten to twelve		4	3.74	68	7.83
-	E. Thirteen or more		59	55.15	469	53.97
	IV. NUMBER OF PERSONS IN MEDICAID ASSISTANCE G	ROUP		100%		100%
	A. One	,	64	59.81	509 ·	58.67
	B. Two		20	18.69	149	17.15
	C. Three		12	11.21	1111	12.77
	D. Four		4	3.74	58	6.67
	E. Five		5	4.67	25	2.88
	F. Six		-0 -	-0-	6	.69
	G, Seven	•	2	1.87	2	.23
	H. Eight		0	o o	3	.35
	I. Nine		0	0	5	-58
	J. Ten or more		0	. 0	1	.12

Table VII A

MEDICAID QUALITY CONTROL: Case Characteristics for Both Cases With and Cases Without Eligibility/Liability Errors (MAO Stratum Only)

State	California Reporting	g Period <u>4/8</u>	34 to	9/84	
		Cases Wit	th Errors	Cases Witho	out Errors
	CHARACTERISTIC	Number A	Fercent B	Number C	Percent D
	Y. TYPES OF INCOME PRESENT				
•	A. Earned income	25	23.36	250	28.77
	B. RSDI/RRB benefits	62	57.94	360	41.43
	C. Other government benefit programs	9	8.41	47	5.41
	D. Pensions and other benefits	27	25.23	. 7.2	8.29
	E. Other unezmed income	17	15.89	147	16.92
	VI. TYPES OF RESOURCES				
	A. Real property	4	3.74	6	.69
. `	B. Other personal property	5	4.67	5 5	6.33
	C: Liquid state	64	59.81	416	47.87
	D. Other non liquid access	2.	1.87	24	2.76

Page 2 of 2

MEDICAID QUALITY CONTROL: Case Characteristics—Eligibility/Liability/Error Cases Only (MAO Stratum Only)

California

Reporting Period 4/84 to 9/84

	Carer With Elinibi	lity/Lizbility Errors
CHARACTERISTIC	Number A	Percent R
I. AGE OF ERROR - TOTAL	107	100%
A. 3 months or fewer	62	57.94
B. 4 to 6 months	30	28.04
C. 7 to 9 months -	9	8.41
D. 10 to 12 months	4	3.74
E. 13 or more months	2	- 1.87 -
H. RELATIONSHIP OF DATE OF MOST RECENT ERROR TO DATE OF MOST RECENT ACTION—TOTAL	107	100%
A. Before	. 6	5.61
B. Coincident	32	29.91
C. After:	69	64.49
1. 3 months or fewer	27	25.23
2. 4 to 6 months	28	26.17
3. 7 to 9 months	7	6.54
4. 10 to 12 months	6	5.61
5. 13 or more months	1	.93
III. DISCOVERY OF ERROR		
A. From case record	54	50.47
B. Incorrect case record	1	.93
C. Recipient interview	30	28.04
D. Employer	2	1.87
E. Financial institution	. 4	3.74
F. Landlord	-0-	-0-
G. Relatives, etc.	8	7.48
H. Gov't. agencies	6	5.61

Table VIII

MEDICAID QUALITY CONTROL: Universe Data by Stratum or Substratum

211# mm	MAO ·	•			
Substratum _	NA	-			
State	California	Reporting Period	4/84	to	9/84

• .			
	MONTH	NUMBER OF CASES	DOLLAR PAYMENTS B
1.			
	April 1984	311,493	\$106,006,084
2.	May 1984	311,032	\$120,975,386
3.	June 1984	302,231	\$ 97,197,187
4.	July 1984	308,423	\$109,819,065
5.	August 1984	309,620	\$115,237,600
6.	September 1984	310,001	\$111,166,099

ATTACHMENT III HISTORICAL COMPARISON OF CASE AND DOLLAR ERROR RATES FOR CATEGORIES HAVING SIGNIFICANT ERRORS

HISTORICAL COMPARISON OF CASE AND DOLLAR ERROR RATES FOR CATEGORIES HAVING SIGNIFICANT ERRORS

Percent of Case Errors Percent of Dollar Errors 10/82-4/83-10/83-4/84-10/82-4/83-10/83-4/84_ Category ** 3/83 9/83* 3/84 9/84 3/83 9/83 3/84 9/84 BASIC REQUIREMENTS Age 1.0 2.3 2.0 5.6 10.5 .1 . 1 4.2 Living 6.2 12.2 3.1 4.7 4.8 5.4 .6 •5 Arrangement Deprivation 4.6 2.3 11.2 7.5 3.6 . 9 10.4 4.3 Blindness/ 1.0 .8 1.0 2.8 1.0 0.0+0.0 +10.6 Disability RESOURCES Bank Accounts 3.6 3.8 4.1 .9 20.2 5.8 11.0 • 6 Other Non-0.0 .8 1.0 0.0 0.0 9.7 0.0 +0.0 Liquid Assets Real Property 1.0 6.9 9.2 5.6 .6 60.5 55.6 49.3 INCOME Earned 14.4 111.5 10.2 13.1 12.5 7.7 1.3 6.9 RSDI 113.3 14.5 16.3 19.6 2.5 3.6 3.1 5.9 Other Government! 6.7 6.1 14.3 6.5 10.2 2.7 5.7 9.8 Benefits Other Income 3.6 3.8 6.1 •9 4.4 .1 1.2 0.0+ Other Disregards/14.9 9.9 6.1 14.0 22.3 1.7 2.3 4.6 Deductions OTHER Beneficiary 113.3 8.4 5.1 2.8 1.3 -3 1.0 0.0+ Liability Determination

^{*} Includes all error incidents for 4/83-9/83 review period.

The distribution of errors by category will not necessarily match those on Federal tables as individual work sheets were examined and coding adjusted when indicated.

⁺ Percentage value = 0 when rounded to the nearest hundreth.

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES

714/744 P STREET CRAMENTO, CA 95814



March 17, 1936

To: All County Welfare Directors
County Administrative Officers

Letter No. 86-12

STATE HEARING REQUESTS - DISABILITY ISSUES

The purpose of this letter is to inform you of new procedures required in processing of state disability hearings and to transmit specific instructions for implementing those procedures. Revisions to the procedures portion of the Medi-Cal Eligibility Manual (MEM) Section 19 will be sent to you under separate cover (A draft of the procedure is attached.)

Background:

The Department of Health Services (DHS) is currently involved in litigation (Visser v. Kizer) over the timeliness of state hearing decisions involving Medi-Cal disability determinations. In response to this litigation, DHS is examining and revising certain procedures for state disability hearings in order to expedite these decisions and establish a more efficient state hearing process.

Under the current state hearing process, a claimant may file a request for a hearing with either the county welfare department (CWD), (in accordance with Saldivar v. McMahon) or the Office of the Chief Referee (OCR). In many cases, the basis for denial or discontinuance is not identified in the hearing request. hearing is then scheduled and attended by the claimant, a hearing officer, and a county appeals worker. At the hearing the claimant presents his/her allegation of disability and the county appeals worker presents the county position. Once the issue of disability is established as the basis for the hearing request, the hearing officer must ask the claimant to complete the appropriate forms (i.e., a new MC 223, Statement of Facts Regarding Disability, and new MC 220s, Authorization for Release of Information) in order to obtain the Disability Evaluation Division (DED) file. The hearing is then postponed until either the files are received or a new evaluation can be performed by Upon receipt of the DED file or DED's completion of the new evaluation, a second hearing must then be scheduled for the county appeals worker and the claimant to present their arguments.

This procedure is expensive and time-consuming for both the CWD and OCR, and imposes unnecessary case delays. OCR must schedule,

All County Welfare Directors County Administrative Officers Page 2

and the CWD must attend two hearings on the same issue. Even where DED finds the individual to be disabled and the CWD obtains a conditional withdrawal prior to the second hearing, county appeals workers will still have had to attend the initial hearing. Also, the necessity of attending two hearings imposes a considerable hardship on many claimants with physical and/or mental problems. Thus, the hearing may need to be again postponed until the claimant is able to attend.

Under the court order in <u>Visser</u>, it is necessary to avoid unnecessary case delays and postponements. Therefore, it is important that disability hearing cases be identified as quickly as possible so that the DED file and any other information required can be obtained prior to the date of the hearing.

The Department and OCR have developed procedures that require securing the necessary disability related information prior to the date of the hearing. A request for Reconsideration form (DHS 7062) has been developed, along with a cover letter, to be sent to each claimant requesting a hearing based on disability. This letter encloses new Authorization for Release of Information (MC 220) forms and instructions for completion of the MC 220 and DHS 7062. It also explains to the claimant the need for the information and provides a telephone number the claimant can call to request assistance or clarification. This set of forms, with the cover letter, is referenced as the disability reconsideration packet.

OCR has accepted the responsibility to prepare and send out the reconsideration packets and will provide a toll-free number for assistance to all claimants involved in disability hearings. However, hearing requests filed directly with the counties (pursuant to <u>Saldivar</u> v <u>McMahon</u>) on Medi-Cal disability issues must be identified for OCR. Therefore, it is necessary that each county review hearing requests and identify requests that involve Medi-Cal disability issues. We have attached a copy of the draft MEM Procedure Section describing the identification procedure to be followed. Please implement this new procedure by May 1, 1986.

Hopefully, this procedure will permit OCR to obtain the DED file and send out the reconsideration packet quickly and will minimize the workload imposed on county staff. It is anticipated that this process will significantly expedite the rendering of hearing decisions involving disability as the information necessary to review disability will already be present at the first hearing.

All County Welfare Directors County Administrative Officers Page 3

If you have any questions, please contact Toni Bailey at (916) 324-4953.

Sincerely,

Original signed by

Doris Z. Soderberg, Chief Medi-Cal Eligibility Branch

attachment

cc: Medi-Cal Liaisons

Medi-Cal Program Consultants

Expiration Date: August 30, 1986

19 A - Disability Hearing Requests

In order to conduct a State Hearing involving a disability issue, it is necessary for the Office of the Chief Referee to obtain the disability file from the Disability Evaluation Division (DED) and to contact the person requesting the hearing for additional medical or vocational information. If the necessary information is not gathered prior to the hearing, the hearing officer may have to postpone the hearing, resulting in an untimely decision.

Therefore, all Medi-Cal disability hearing requests made directly to the county pursuant to Saldivar v. McMahon must be identified. The following information must then be provided to OCR along with the hearing request:

- 1. The name of the person alleging disability (this may differ from the case name and/or the claimant's name).
- 2. The Social Security Number of the person alleging disability.
- 3. The birthdate (if available) of the person alleging disability.
- 4. The date of the denied application or the discontinuance.

OCR will then request the disability file from DED and updated medical information from the claimant. The information will be available at the hearing, thus avoiding unnecessary delays in issuing decisions.

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES

714/744 P STREET CRAMENTO, CA 95814

To:



March 17, 1986

All County Welfare Directors
County Administrative Officers

Letter No. 86-13

MEDI-CAL ELIGIBILITY DATA SYSTEM (MEDS) INPUT FOR ESTATE RECOVERY

The attached procedure, "Article 16F -- Probate/Estate Recovery", explains the State's recently expanded operation to increase recoveries from the estates of deceased aged Medi-Cal beneficiaries. We expect this system to identify an additional 1,000 estate cases per year for a projected annual increase in collections of over one million dollars. This procedure will be issued in a Medi-Cal Eligibility Manual Letter update in the near future.

Please instruct your staff to implement this procedure as soon as possible.

If you have any questions on estate recoveries please contact Carol Fignani at (916) 322-0648 (ATSS) 492-0648. Questions on MEDS should be directed to your state MEDS liaison.

Sincerely,

Original signed by

Doris Z. Soderberg, Chief Medi-Cal Eligibility Branch

Attachment

cc: Medi-Cal Program Consultants

Medi-Cal Liaisons

Expiration Date: May 30, 1986

16F -- PROBATE/ESTATE RECOVERY

This section provides procedures to be followed when discontinuing eligibility of a beneficiary due to death.

BACKGROUND

In accordance with Welfare and Institutions (W&I) Code, Section 14009.5, and Probate Code, Section 700.1, the Department of Health Services (DHS) implemented a recovery program in June 1981, whereby creditor's claims are filed against estates of certain deceased Medi-Cal beneficiaries for the amount of services paid by the program on behalf of the named decedents after age 65.

The following information outlines the major points of this program.

1. Notification of Medi-Cal Beneficiary's Death

It is the responsibility of the heirs, the executor, the administrator, or the persons in possession of any property of the decedent to notify the State of the death of a Medi-Cal beneficiary (Probate Code, Section 700.1 (a)).

The State has a system which identifies decedents who meet the criteria in W&I Code, Section 14009.5. An inquiry letter is sent to the last known address of appropriate Medi-Cal beneficiaries. The return of the letter with a copy of the death certificate satisfies the provision in Probate Code, Section 700.1 (a), to notify the State of the death of a Medi-Cal beneficiary.

2. Persons That Claims May Be Filed Against

DHS may file a claim if the Medi-Cal decedent was 65 or older, there is no surviving spouse, no surviving child who is under the age of 21 or who is blind or permanently and totally disabled, and there is an estate (W&I Code, Section 14009.5).

Estates may include real property (joint tenancy, tenants in common, and fee simple) and/or personal property.

3. Amount of the Claim

DHS may file a claim against the estate of the decedent, or against any recipient of the property of that decedent, by distribution or survival in an amount equal to the payments for health care services received (W&I Code, Section 14009.5) or the amount of the estate, whichever is less (Probate Code, Section 700.1).

Expenses of last illness (funeral expenses, administration of estate, and costs) are paid first.

4. Payment of Claims

Payment in full from the proceeds of the estate, monthly payments, and voluntary property liens are used to effect satisfaction of our claim. This is decided on a case-by-case basis, depending on the circumstances. DHS can, by law, force a sale of property to satisfy claims.

5. Distributed Estate

If the assets of the estate have been distributed, the law provides that DHS is entitled to a claim against the distributee(s). The claim is either the amount equal to the payments for Medi-Cal services received, or each distributee's share of the distributed assets, whichever is less (Probate Code, Section 700.1).

The law also allows for referral to the Attorney General for superior or municipal court action.

COUNTY RESPONSIBILITIES

The county is to notify DHS via the Medi-Cal Eligibility Data System (MEDS) of each aged person who is discontinued from Medi-Cal due to death.

MEDS Input

Field 0185 has been designated as the "reason for termination" field. Termination code "01" indicates the cause for termination is death.

For either on-line MEDS or county batch transactions, the county shall input code "01" in field 0185 on the record of any person age 65 years or over for whom death is the reason for discontinuance.

DHS RESPONSIBILITIES

DHS will generate a letter requesting information which will be sent to the heirs/administrator of the estates of aged Medi-Cal beneficiaries.

Once the letter is returned, DHS will initiate a claim to recover Medi-Cal expenses when appropriate.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA-HEALTH AND VELFARE AGENCY
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES

GEORGE DEUKHEJIAN, GOVERNOE

July 18, 1985

CENERAL COLLECTION SECTION

P.O. BOX 2946

SACRAMENTO, CA 9581Z

ATTENTION: Person Responsible for the Estate of Decedent Named Below SECOND REQUEST

TELEPHONE 916-322-2280

"Decedent Name"
"Decedent Address Line 1"
"Decedent Address Line 2"
"Decedent Address Line 3"
"Decedent Address Line 4"

"Decedent City, State, Zip"

DECEASED : "Decedent Name"
SOCIAL SECURITY NO.: 123456789
MEDI-CAL NO. : 11223333333455

California's Probate Law requires that this Department be notified when settling the estate of a deceased person who has received or may have received health care under the Medi-Cal Program. Section 700.1 of the Probate Code requires the heirs, executor, administrator, or persons in possession of any property of the decedent to provide the Director of Health Services notification no later than 90 days from the date of death. Hotification to Social Security or the County Velfare Office does not satisfy this requirement.

State files indicate that the decedent named above was eligible to receive medical services paid for by the Medi-Cal program; notice to this Department is therefore required. Please send your notification to this office with the following information:

Es	any:timated value of:
A.	Real Estate:
	Cash & Bank Accounts (after burial expenses):
C.	Other (specify):
	me, address, and telephone number of person or attorney settling the

Your completion and return of this letter and a copy of the death certificate in the enclosed envelope will provide this Department the notice required by law. You will be notified within four (4) months if the Department plans to file a claim against the estate to recover the cost of Medi-Cal benefits paid on behalf of the decedent as provided for in State law (Section 14009.5 of the Welfare and Institutions Code). Such claim will be made only if there is no surviving spouse or dependent child. It is important that you return the letter even if there are no assets in the estate. If the estate will be probated, complete as much information as possible even if you are unable to estimate the value of assets at this time.

If there is an attorney handling probate proceedings, please refer this letter to that attorney as soon as possible. If you have any questions, please call the number above. Your cooperation in meeting this reporting requirement is appreciated.

CH-ERS -P